

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Boy 1450
Alexapdina, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,218	06/27/2003	Michio Yamashita	04329.3085	5693
22852 7:	590 01/31/2006		EXAM	INER
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			PATEL, ANAND B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			
			DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/607,218	YAMASHITA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anand Patel	2116				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 A</u>	oril 2005.					
, ·—	· ' 					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-11 and 13</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 12</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
·11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some *.c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmanta						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Chapter No(s)/Mail Date						

Application/Control Number: 10/607,218

Art Unit: 2116

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-11, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No 6513124 to Furuichi et al (Furuichi).
 - As per claim 1, Furuichi discloses a method of controlling a clock frequency of a processor, comprising:
 - Acquiring an executable instruction count per unit time of the processor (column 3, lines 57);
 - Acquiring a clock count per unit time of the processor (column 5, lines 19-27);
 - Determining whether a ratio of the executable instruction count to the clock count exceeds a predetermined value (column 3, lines 7-14); and
 - Controlling the clock frequency of the processor in accordance with a result of the determination (column 3, lines 7-14).
 - As per claim 9, Furuichi discloses an electronic apparatus, comprising:
 - A clock oscillator configured to supply a clock signal (9);
 - A processor (3) configured to generate an internal clock on the basis of the clock signal supplied from the clock oscillator (column 4, lines 32-35); and

Art Unit: 2116

- A control unit (19) configured to control a frequency of the internal clock in accordance with a ratio of an executable instruction count per unit time to a clock count per unit time of the internal clock generated by the processor (column 4, lines 55-59, 63-65).
- As per claim 2, Furuichi discloses the method wherein a series of power control monitoring and controlling steps are repetitively executed at a predetermined time interval (column 8, lines 54-56).
 Furuichi discloses the specific steps as outlined above.
- As per claim 3, Furuichi discloses the method wherein the predetermined time interval is changeable (column 8, lines 54-56).
- As per claim 4, Furuichi discloses the method wherein the control includes controlling to decrease the clock frequency of the processor when the ratio is determined not to exceed the predetermined value (it is inherent that this limitation is met given the explanation of the opposite conditions cited in column 3, lines 8-14).
- As per claims 5, 11, Furuichi discloses the method wherein the determination includes determining whether a ratio of i) a difference between two executable instruction counts acquired successively (the inherent I_{u2}-I_u calculation given that the rate of change is being calculated) to ii) the clock count exceeds a predetermined value (column 3, lines 21-26).
- As per claims 7, 13, Furuichi discloses the method wherein the control includes increasing the clock frequency when the ratio is determined as a result of the determination to exceed the predetermined value, and decreasing the clock frequency when the ratio is determined not to exceed the predetermined value (column 3, lines 21-26).
- As per claim 8, Furuichi discloses the method wherein the predetermined value is changeable (column 7, line 65).
- As per claim 10, Furuichi discloses the apparatus wherein the control unit (19) determines whether a ratio of the executable instruction count to the clock count exceeds a predetermined value

Application/Control Number: 10/607,218 Page 4

Art Unit: 2116

(column 3, lines 7-14) and controls a clock frequency of the processor in accordance with a result of the determination (column 3, lines 7-14; column 4, lines 63-65).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 6, 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Prior art does not disclose or suggest comparing a ratio of a sum of executable instruction counts which are successively acquired and multiplied by a predetermined weighting factor to a sum of clock counts which are successively acquired and multiplied by a predetermined weighting factor to a predetermined value in order to determine how to vary the frequency of a processor.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - US Patent No 6931559 to Burns et al teaches using instructions per clock cycle as a means for determining when to change the power characteristics of the processor.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anand Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-7211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Lynne Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,218

Art Unit: 2116

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LYNNE H. BROWNE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 5

ABP